



DeepDetect: A Practical On-device Android Malware Detector

Saurabh Kumar¹, Debadatta Mishra¹, Biswabandan Panda², and Sandeep K. Shukla¹ ¹Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur ²Indian Institute of Technology Bombay



Motivation

Rapid growth of Android malware

> 4.18 million new samples in 2019 (source G DATA)

□ Malware may get unleashed into the device

- > Bypassing the defense system of Play store
- > Third party market and Sideloading

Required on device malware detection



Our Goal

Designing an on-device malware detector that is faster, consume less device energy, provides high malware detection rate and low false alarms

Challenge: Limited energy of mobile device



DeepDetect: Overview





Feature Extraction

Extract features from two sources

- Manifest file
- ≻ Dex Code
- Use Opcode sequence from the Dex Code
 - ➢ DexLib2
 - > Operate in-memory





DeepDetect: Overview





Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Feature Selection and Encoding

- Encode based on their count and presence (binary)
- □Count: frequency of usage
 - > User defined components like activities, services, custom permissions, etc...
 - >N-Gram Opcode sequences
- □Binary: to observe presence
 - > System defined components like permissions, hardware features, etc..

Cotogony	#Features		
Category	Original	Encoding	
Activities	5,24,989	1	
Services	57,202	1	
Receivers	49,751	1	
Providers	6,659	1	
Intents	50,257	1	
Custom Permissions	0	1	
Requested Permissions	23,175	668	
Hardware Component	245	245	
2-Gram Opcode	317	317	
Total	7,12,595	1,236	



Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Correlation Based Reduction

□Use Pearson correlation (-1 to +1)

>0: weak

±1: strong positive and negative
 Different threshold (COR_T) 0.5 to
 1.0 in both directions

R	Accuracy (%) / #Features			
0 C	ReqPerm	HWC	2-OPC	
0.5	93.69 / 533	60.58 / 194	86.32 / 39	
0.6	94.00 / 562	60.79 / 207	90.05 / 55	
0.7	93.99 / 575	60.82 / 212	94.82 / 72	
0.8	94.74 / 602	60.80 / 224	95.50 / 104	
0.9	94.86 / 626	60.79 / 226	95.99 / 172	
1.0	94.89 / 668	60.85 / 245	96.28 / 317	

0.8 for requested permissions and hardware components
0.9 for 2-Gram Opcode sequence



Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Optimal Feature Identification

□Use RFECV

- Classifier: RandomForest
- Ranking Function: Accuracy
- > Eliminate feature in each step: 1
- Provides optimal #features with highest accuracy (Acc_R)

Feature	Accuracy	#Features
ReqPerm	94.77	371
HWC	60.79	185
2-Opc	90.01	169



Optimal #features Vs. accuracy for requested permissions

Observation: With a significant less number of features result in an accuracy close to maximum achievable accuracy



Optimal Feature Identification cont..

- Define threshold RFE_T, penalty in choosing less #features in terms of accuracy
- ■Evaluated for different RFE_T values from 0.0 to 0.5

цĽ	Accuracy (%) / #Features			
RF	ReqPerm	HWC	2-OPC	
0.0	94.77 / 371	60.79 / 185	96.01 / 169	
0.1	94.76 / 86	60.75 / 17	95.92 / 69	
0.2	94.68 / 60	60.72 / 13	95.90 / 62	
0.3	94.57 / 52	60.65 / 13	95.76 / 48	
0.4	94.47 / 41	60.62 / 13	95.76 / 37	
0.5	94.34 / 40	60.60 / 12	95.64 / 37	

A drastic reduction in feature set size for 0.5 as RFE_T value



Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Combining Feature Sets

- Combined all the feature set in different combination
 - N: numeric features obtained using encoding
 - R: reduced requested permissions
 - > H: reduced hardware components
 - > O: reduced 2-Gram Opcode
- Two different combination with highest accuracy.

Combination	#Features	Acc	Pre	Rec
N+H	18	86.45	86.55	85.46
N+O	36	96.90	96.93	96.90
H+O	42	96.07	96.19	96.07
N+R	46	96.45	96.46	96.24
N+H+O	48	96.87	96.89	96.87
R+H	52	95.16	95.08	94.96
N+R+H	58	96.57	96.59	96.37
R+O	70	98.15	98.15	98.15
N+R+O	76	98.14	98.15	98.14
R+H+O	82	98.12	98.12	98.12
N+R+H+O	88	98.12	98.12	98.12



Combining Feature Sets

- Combined all the feature set in different combination
 - N: numeric features obtained using encoding
 - R: reduced requested permissions
 - > H: reduced hardware components
 - > O: reduced 2-Gram Opcode
- Two different combination with highest accuracy.

Combination	#Features	Acc	Pre	Rec
N+H	18	86.45	86.55	85.46
N+O	36	96.90	96.93	96.90
H+O	42	96.07	96.19	96.07
N+R	46	96.45	96.46	96.24
N+H+O	48	96.87	96.89	96.87
R+H	52	95.16	95.08	94.96
N+R+H	58	96.57	96.59	96.37
R+O	70	98.15	98.15	98.15
N+R+O	76	98.14	98.15	98.14
R+H+O	82	98.12	98.12	98.12

Select N+R+O as contribution of numeric feature is significant when combined with requested permissions

98.12



Feature Engineering

□Three major components





Reduction in Selected Feature Set

- Eliminate features that require extra support
 - > Intents (I)
 - Custom permissions (C)
- Observe effect either removing one or both

Feature Set	#Features	Acc	Pre	Rec
N+R+O	76	98.14	98.15	98.14
N+R+O-I	75	98.18	98.18	98.18
N+R+O-C	75	98.08	98.08	98.08
N+R+O-I-C	74	98.13	98.13	98.13

Removes Intents as it does not impact model performance, hence selects N+R+O-I



Feature Engineering

□Three major components



Use final feature set with 75 features to design DeepDetect



DeepDetect: Overview





Building The System





Learning Model

- Use RandomForest to learn final model Why?
 - >Ensemble method
 - Information gain
 - > Does not require feature scaling
- Use TensorFlow library to learn final model
- Converted to TensorFlow Lite model for on-device detection

	Classifier	Recall	FPR	Training time
g	RF	97.50	1.51	0.5134
calin	SVM	84.29	32.11	533.6512
o S(KNN	90.98	8.68	32.0794
Z	Neural Net	93.19	8.41	28.4913
	RF	97.49	1.54	0.5799
ling	SVM	96.11	2.52	108.3715
Sca	KNN	96.47	3.15	34.3693
	Neural Net	96.09	4.30	37.0156



Building The System





On-device Detection

- □Check when an App gets installed/updated
- Generate feature vector
- □Pass to detection model
 - ≻ Benign:
 - ≻ Malware:
 - Notify to user
 - Option to uninstall App



Building The System





Evaluation

Evaluation metrics

- > Precision
- ➢ Recall
- ≻F1-Score
- False Positive Rate
- □Runtime performance
 - > Execution time
 - Device energy consumption



Dataset

□Multiple Datasets

- Training (Known): 80% samples of AMD, VirusShare and Play Store
- Evaluation (Unseen): 20% of AMD, VirusShare and Play Store
- New: AndroZoo (2019) and Pegasus samples
- Obfuscated by obfuscating Androzoo-2019

Dataset/source	Duration	Malware	Benign
AMD	Till 2016	24553	
VirusShare	Till 2018	20976	
Pay store	Till 2018		56346
AndroZoo-2019	2019	5380	5380
Pegasus (CloudSek)	Pre 2019	5	
Obfuscated	2019	4993	



Performance Comparison of Features

- Extracted 7 different features from Dex code
- RandomForest classifier is trained on training set
- Evaluated against evaluation set

Observes

>ROC curve and AUC value



Three feature set (2-Gram, 3-Gram, USR) with more 99% AUC

Feature set size of USR is relatively large compared to 2-Gram and 3-Gram



Runtime Efficiency of Features

- □Use five different Apps
- Extracts features on three different smartphones
- Measures execution time
 - ➢ Per App





Runtime Efficiency of Features

□Use five different Aps

- Extracts features on three different smartphones
- Measures execution time
 - ➢ Per App
 - > Average execution time



2-Gram take ~5.32 seconds on OnePlus 7Pro, which is 2.13X and 2.53X faster than RA and SA, respectively

With 6.06 seconds of average execution time on all devices



Runtime Efficiency of Features

- □Use five different Apps
- Extracts features on three different smartphones
- Measures execution time
 - ➢ Per App
 - > Average execution time
- Device battery consumption



📉 1-Gram

In OnePlus 7Pro, 2-Gram consumes 0.45% battery, which improves device energy by more than 2.1X against non Opcode based features

However, average energy consumption across all devices is 0.7%



Robustness Against Unseen/New

Evaluated against known (training), unseen (evaluation set), new (Androzoo-2019)

□Also evaluated against 5 samples of Pegasus malware

Dataset	Precision	Recall	F1	FPR
Training Set	99.98	99.95	99.95	0.01
Evaluation Set	98.05	97.50	97.69	1.51
AndroZoo-2019	97.70	97.12	97.69	1.73
Pegasus (5)		100		



Detect more than 97% new malware with FPR of 1.73%

Detect all samples of Pegasus malware



Evaluation Against Obfuscated Samples

New obfuscated malware sample

- > Obfuscated AndroZoo-2019
- > Utilized Obfusapk Tool
- > 4993 unique sample in 6 category
- Evaluated on same samples
 - > non-obfuscated (original)
 - > and obfuscated

Cotogory	#Samplas	#Sampl	Drop	
Calegory	#Samples	Original	Obfuscated	(%)
Trivial	160	156	156	0
Renaming	570	554	554	0
Encryption	1135	1102	1092	0.53
Reflection	252	241	239	0.79
Code	2429	2358	2298	2.47
Mix	447	438	429	2.01
Overall	4993	4849	4849	1.55

No drop for trivial and renaming category

Maximum drop is 2.47% for code with average detection rate of 95.57%



Limitations

Cannot detect malware

- > Malicious behavior in native code
- > Packed malware
- > Download malicious code from external source at runtime



Conclusion

With effective feature engineering, we have designed DeepDetect

Effectively detect more than 97% new malware with an FPR of 1.73%

Detect 95.57% of obfuscated malware

Analyze an App in ~5.32 seconds while consuming 0.45% of total device battery for 50 Apps



